1 2 3	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP Orin Snyder (pro hac vice) osnyder@gibsondunn.com 200 Park Avenue New York, NY 10166-0193 Telephone: 212.351.4000	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP Deborah Stein (SBN 224570) dstein@gibsondunn.com 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197 Telephone: 213.229.7000	
4	Facsimile: 212.351.4035	Facsimile: 213.229.7520	
5	Kristin A. Linsley (SBN 154148) klinsley@gibsondunn.com	Joshua S. Lipshutz (SBN 242557) jlipshutz@gibsondunn.com	
6	Martie Kutscher (SBN 302650) mkutscherclark@gibsondunn.com	1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5306	
7	555 Mission Street, Suite 3000 San Francisco, CA 94105-0921	Telephone: 202.955.8500 Facsimile: 202.467.0539	
8	Telephone: 415.393.8200 Facsimile: 415.393.8306		
10	Attorneys for Defendant Facebook, Inc.,		
11			
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
14			
15	IN RE: FACEBOOK, INC. CONSUMER	CASE NO. 3:18-MD-02843-VC	
16	PRIVACY USER PROFILE LITIGATION,	FACEBOOK, INC.'S SUPPLEMENTAL	
17	This document relates to:	STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER	
18	ALL ACTIONS	ANOTHER PARTY'S MATERIALS SHOULD BE SEALED	
19		SHOOLD BE SEALED	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
2526			
26 27			
28			
۷٥_			

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5, Facebook, Inc. ("Facebook") hereby submits this Supplemental Statement in Support of Plaintiffs' Administrative Motions to Consider Whether Another Party's Materials Should Be Sealed (Dkts. 1001, 1005) ("Administrative Motions"). For the reasons explained below, there is good cause to seal permanently this information and Facebook's request is narrowly tailored under the circumstances.

I. Background

On August 23, 2022, this Court ordered Plaintiffs to file "full transcripts of the 30(b)(6) depositions cited in their sanctions motion and supplemental briefing." On August 24, Plaintiffs filed these transcripts in two separate Administrative Motions. Dkts. 1001, 1005. On September 1, 2022, Facebook submit its statement and declaration in support of sealing these materials. Dkt. 1038. Facebook now submits this amended statement, declaration, and proposed order in support of sealing these materials, revising its proposed redactions to the deposition transcript of Konstantinos Papamiltiadis, and providing information regarding the sealing requests for each specific portion of the remaining deposition transcripts.

II. The Good Cause Standard Applies Because the Motion Is Unrelated to the Merits

Courts seal information in non-dispositive motions so long as there is good cause to do so because public disclosure of the information would cause harm or prejudice, and the request is narrowly tailored. *Doe v. Walmart, Inc.*, 2019 WL 636362, at *1–2 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 11, 2019). Here, the Brief, declarations, and exhibits are related to the discovery record, not the merits of this action, so the good cause standard applies.

III. There Is Good Cause for Facebook's Proposed Redactions and Sealing

Facebook asks the Court to permanently seal the following categories of information:

- (i) Confidential information that Facebook has previously asked the Court to seal. Facebook asks the Court to seal limited portions of the following documents, for which Facebook previously requested that the Court find good cause to seal, upon which the Court has not yet ruled:
 - 1. Ex. 5 (Dkt. 1006-8). *See* Dkt. 938-3-4 (proposed redactions); Dkt. 938 (Facebook's explanation for the proposed redactions);
 - 2. Exs. 95, 98, 103, 105, and 106 (Dkts. 1006-2 1006-6); See Dkt. 1012-1, 1012-2 (proposed

1

2

4

3

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

redactions); Dkt. 1012 (Facebook's explanation for the proposed redactions)

(ii) Confidential information regarding Facebook's proprietary data systems and data storage and processing practices. As set forth in the Supplemental Stein Declaration and Proposed Order, Facebook asks the Court to seal confidential information regarding details about the data systems that house specific data and how Facebook stores, tracks, preserves, deletes, and processes data. This information involves key components of Facebook's business that set it apart and ahead of its competitors and reveals confidential technical information about its data systems and proprietary methods for storing and processing data. Supp. Stein Decl. ¶ 4. If this information were publicly disclosed, competitors could use it to improve their own methods for managing high volumes of user data or for tracking user data across data systems. Id. Courts routinely seal commercially sensitive information that "competitors would be able to take advantage of" and use unfairly if disclosed. See, e.g., Asetek Danmark A/S v. CMI USA, Inc., 2015 WL 4511036, at *2 (N.D. Cal. July 23, 2015). Additionally, hackers and other bad actors could use this information to better understand Facebook's data systems and target specific repositories of data, potentially harming both Facebook and its users. Supp. Stein Decl. ¶ 4. The Court has previously found good cause to seal this type of information. See, e.g., Dkt. 813 at 0026–29 (requesting sealing data system names); Dkt. 844 (granting motion to seal).

(iii) Confidential information regarding Facebook's tool for responding to lawenforcement requests for user records. As set forth in the Stein Declaration and Proposed Order, Facebook asks the Court to seal confidential information regarding Facebook's tool for responding to law-enforcement requests for user records, including the name of the tool, the types of data it contains, the delta between the data within the tool and DYI, and the format in which data from the tool is produced. Public disclosure of details about this tool would reveal confidential information regarding Facebook's internal operations that could be used by bad actors to attempt to circumvent and evade Facebook's enforcement strategies and current and future lawful governmental criminal investigations. Supp. Stein Decl. ¶ 5. It also could provide confidential information to competitors about how Facebook tracks and stores data across its systems, to Facebook's competitive disadvantage. *Id.*

(iv) Confidential and competitively sensitive information regarding Facebook's business programs, strategies, decisions, and partners. Facebook asks the Court to seal confidential information, set forth in the Stein Declaration and Proposed Order, regarding Facebook's business programs, strategies, decisions, and partners, including confidential technical details of how Facebook develops and uses data to target advertisements to the most relevant users. Courts routinely seal documents which would reveal "confidential and competitively-sensitive information" and "confidential business strategies," as well as other "proprietary" information, that competitors could use to a party's competitive disadvantage. See, e.g., In re Lidoderm Antitrust Litig., 2016 WL 4191612, at * 26–27 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 9, 2016); In re Qualcomm Litig., 2017 WL 5176922, at *2 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 8, 2017). Courts also regularly seal information that would identify confidential business relationships or reveal the terms of such relationships. See, e.g., Obesity Rsch. Inst., LLC v. Fiber Rsch. Int'l, LLC, 2018 WL 3642177, at *5 (S.D. Cal. Aug. 1, 2019). For these reasons, this Court has previously sealed similar information. See, e.g., Dkt. 813-1 at 3440 (requesting sealing of the name of a business partner); Dkt. 844 (granting motion to seal); Dkt. 550 at 2-3; Dkt. 550-1 at 1 (requesting sealing of information that would give competitors insight into Facebook's strategy); Dkt. 638 (granting motion to seal); Dkt. 812 at 3–4 (explaining harm to Facebook from public disclosure of the details of Facebook's targeted advertising); Dkt. 844 (granting motion to seal such information). Public disclosure of this information could allow Facebook's competitors to copy its strategies, or use the ideas developed by Facebook at its own expense to Facebook's competitive disadvantage. Supp. Stein Decl. ¶ 6. Public disclosure also could harm Facebook's relationships with its business partners by disclosing their identities and confidential negotiations with Facebook. Id. It also could undercut Facebook's ability to negotiate with these entities' competitors. Id. Finally, Facebook's ability to serve advertisements to the users who find them most relevant is an essential aspect of its business, and if publicly disclosed, this information could allow Facebook's competitors to improve their service of advertisements and cause Facebook competitive harm. Id.

(vi) Confidential information regarding Facebook's privacy and platform policy enforcement practices. Facebook asks the Court to seal confidential information, set forth in the

practices. Disclosure of information about Facebook's decision-making process for evaluating apps and developers that may be violating its policies would reveal confidential details of Facebook's internal business operations. Supp. Stein Decl. ¶ 7. If this information were revealed, bad actors could use it to attempt to circumvent and evade Facebook's enforcement strategies, potentially harming both Facebook and its users. *Id.* The Court has previously sealed similar information for the same reasons. *See, e.g.*, Dkt. 812 (requesting sealing of similar information); Dkt. 844 (granting motion to seal).

Stein Declaration and Proposed Order, regarding Facebook's privacy and platform enforcement

(vii) Confidential information regarding Facebook's response to regulatory matters. Facebook asks the Court to seal confidential information, set forth in the Supplemental Stein Declaration and Proposed Order, regarding Facebook's response to ongoing regulatory matters. Disclosure of details regarding these matters would reveal confidential information Facebook's internal strategy for responding to regulatory inquiries from state and federal agencies. Supp. Stein Decl. ¶ 8. Courts regularly seal information that would reveal such information. See In re Lidoderm Antitrust Litig., 2016 WL 4191612, at *27 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 9, 2016) (granting motion to seal material related to "regulatory matter" strategy).

IV. The Proposed Redactions Are Narrowly Tailored

Facebook's proposed redactions are narrowly tailored because the redactions are limited to the narrow and limited categories of confidential and proprietary information set forth above. *See Dunbar v. Google, Inc.*, 2013 WL 12216625, at *1 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 18, 2014) (granting sealing requests that were "narrowly tailored to protect . . . proprietary information").

* * *

For these reasons, Facebook respectfully requests that the Court permanently seal the materials attached to Plaintiffs' Administrative Motions as set forth herein and as fully set forth in the Proposed Order.

1	Dated: September 14, 2022	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP
2 3		By: <u>/s/ Deborah Stein</u> Orin Snyder (<i>pro hac vice</i>) osnyder@gibsondunn.com 200 Park Avenue
5		New York, NY 10166-0193 Telephone: 212.351.4000 Facsimile: 212.351.4035
6		Deborah Stein (SBN 224570)
7		dstein@gibsondunn.com 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071 3107
8		Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197 Telephone: 213.229.7000 Facsimile: 213.229.7520
9		Joshua S. Lipshutz (SBN 242557) jlipshutz@gibsondunn.com
11		1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5306
12		Telephone: 202.955.8500 Facsimile: 202.467.0539
13		Kristin A. Linsley (SBN 154148) klinsley@gibsondunn.com
14		Martie Kutscher (SBN 302650) mkutscherclark@gibsondunn.com
15 16		555 Mission Street, Suite 3000 San Francisco, CA 94105-0921 Telephone: 415.393.8200
17		Facsimile: 415.393.8306
18		
19		Attorneys for Defendant Facebook, Inc.
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
2526		
20 27		
۱ ک		